UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/578,709	05/10/2006	Seung Jin Oh	IK-0134	3378
34610 KED & ASSOC	7590 10/24/200 CIATES, LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 22120	00	DOERRLER, WILLIAM CHARLES		
Chantilly, VA 20153-1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3744	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/578,709	OH ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	William C. Doerrler	3744					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	-· action is non-final.						
·=							
closed in accordance with the practice under E.			o monto lo				
ologod in accordance markine practice ander 2	parte quayre, 1000 0.2. 11, 10						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5,13 and 19</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) <u>2-4,6-12,14-18,20 and 21</u> is/are objec							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 May 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	· / · / /					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
	<u> </u>						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •	<u> </u>	Stage				
application from the International Bureau	•		- 3				
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	_						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5-10-2006</u> .							

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In line 3 of claim 9, "the home-bar door" lacks clear antecedent basis. The home-bar door is first claimed in claim 8, not claim 5, from which claim 9 depends. Claim 10 depends from claim 9, so it is unclear due to its dependency on an unclear claim. It is noted that in line 5 of claim 20, "electrically" should be --electrical--.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 5 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yun (6,682,161).

Yun shows a refrigerator having a seat 22 for a display pad 10b. The display is held in holder 10a, which is connected with a hinge 11 and a locking means 12 to the seat in the refrigerator door. In regard to claim 13, it is noted that the lower portion of pad 10b as well as the locking means which fastens the lower part of the display, change height when pivoted from the refrigerator door.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yun (6,682,161) in view of Saito et al (5,719,645).

Yun discloses applicants' basic inventive concept, a refrigerator having a display that is movable in seat in the refrigerator door, substantially as claimed with the exception of Art Unit: 3744

using upper and lower clamps with hinge assemblies to permit the display to pivot in opposite directions. Figures 6 and 7 of Saito show display 50 pivoting in opposite directions using upper 55a and lower 55b clamps in the seating space so that the seating space walls enclose the upper or lower end of the display, depending on which end is used as a pivot, and hinge assemblies 70A and 70B fixing the clamps in place, while allowing rotation when a predetermined force acts on the upper or lower portion of the display. In regard to claim 19, Official Notice is taken that radio frequency signals to activate a noise producing means are well known in the separable control art (as is common in wireless telephone handsets and television remote controls) and as such would have been obvious to an ordinary practitioner in the art to enable quick locating of the removable device.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-4,6-12,14-18,20 and 21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Silverbrook et al, Hartstein, Eastman and Roh show refrigerators with display mountings. Gombrich et al and Matsuo et al show pivoting display connections.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Doerrler whose telephone number is (571) 272-4807. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

William C Doerrler Primary Examiner Art Unit 3744

WCD

/William C Doerrler/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3744